		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	rv	09/938,921	MILLIKEN ET AL.
	' y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Quang N. Nguyen	2141
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Per</u>	<u>nding</u> .
(1) <u>Quang N. Nguyen</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>John Harrity</u> .		(4)	•
Date of Interview: 29 September 2006		Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:			
Thor art documents discussed.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the contraction of all issues. 	ion. The parate re	examiner will provide a writteecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called the Attorney on the records (Mr. John Harrity - Reg. 43,367) for a telephone interview to discuss about the Office Action mailed on 08/11/2006 missing the Supervisor's signature. In response to the Attorney's request, a new copy of the Office Action with the Supervisor's signature will be issued accordingly.